

GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE COMMUNITY GOVERNANCE REVIEW 2010/11

NAME AND ADDRESS	RESPONSE RECEIVED	COMMENTS/PROPOSALS RECEIVED
John Cox 46 Castle Street Saffron Walden	6/9/10 Email	<p>“On the question: ‘whether parishes should have, or continue to have, elected parish councils’ It strikes me that in recent years your Council has disposed of functions to Parish Councils because the latter can raise funds by way of precepts without the limitations that a District Council acts under. It is therefore not going to be in the interest of Uttlesford District Council to answer the question ‘should continue to have’ in the negative, which does rather beg the question whether Uttlesford can undertake the review from an impartial point of view. Perhaps the legislators should have thought of this.”</p>
Susan Barker Member of County Council	26/8/10 Email	<p>“I have received the comments on this and no particular issues to raise, either in my UDC or ECC capacity, except Polling Places. Margaret Roding. The Church is NOT a suitable alternative to The Reid Rooms and I think that we should look to find a house that might be suitable in case of non availability. Takeley/Little Canfield. I think it is imperative that the boundary here should be agreed by both Parishes. It would be farcical to end up with a situation (as certainly used to be the case in Stansted / Birchanger) where a few houses in one road in Stansted Parish were in fact in Birchanger. I am sure that you and the Parishes and the working group can all agree a sensible solution. Councillors Presumably the expansion of Birchanger and Little Canfield in particular may trigger an increase in the number of councillors too. I will not be attending the workshop as I assume this email was directed to parish clerks and it is they that you are trying to attract.”</p>